

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
(Attorney Docket No. 15540US01)**

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via EFS-Web to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on April 28, 2007.

/Shawn L. Peterson/

Shawn L. Peterson

Reg. No. 44,286

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

MS: Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This paper responds to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance set forth in the Detailed Action that accompanied allowance of this case. The Detailed Action provides that claims 9-31 are allowable.

To the extent that the Examiner's statement suggests or states that certain limitations not found in the prior art are present in each and every claim (whether or not specific language to that effect is actually found in every claim), the Applicants note that the record as a whole, namely, the actual claim language, supplements the Examiner's statement. More specifically, for example, the Examiner's statement may imply that the limitations of claim 10 are found in each of claims 9, 14 and 22-31, when in fact that is not the case.

The Applicants believe that the Examiner's statement may imply that independent claim 14, and all claims depending therefrom, are also only allowable for the reasons set forth in the

Appln. No.: 10/028,871
Comments dated April 28, 2008
Reply to Notice of Allowance of Jan. 28, 2008

Detailed Action. However, the Examiner's reasons do not specifically address the limitations of independent claim 14, and do not discuss any of the other elements of the claimed subject matter, in particular those additional elements recited by independent claim 14, many of which the Applicants believe are also not found in the prior art and render claim 14 independently allowable.

Moreover, the Applicants believe that the Examiner's statement may imply that the dependent claims are also allowable only for the reasons set forth in the Detailed Action. However, the Examiner's reasons do not discuss any of the other elements of the claimed subject matter, in particular those additional elements recited by dependent claims 9, 11-13 and 15-31, all of which the Applicants believe are also not found in the prior art and render the dependent claims independently allowable.

Accordingly, the Applicants interpret the Examiner's statement to be a statement of only one reason for allowance of the claims, and that other reasons also exist for allowance of the claims, such as, for example, those set forth more completely in the record as a whole. This interpretation is consistent with MPEP § 1302.14, which states that any statement of reasons for allowance “[i]s not intended to necessarily state all the reasons for allowance or all the details why claims are allowed and should not be written to specifically or impliedly state all the reasons for allowance are set forth.”

If the Examiner disagrees with the Applicants' interpretation, the Applicants respectfully request that, prior to issuance, the Examiner withdraw the existing statement of reasons for allowance and simply rely on the record as a whole, or clarify the existing statement of reasons for allowance.

Finally, the Examiner has characterized various references (*e.g.*, Patel 4,945,538) in his statement regarding allowable subject matter. The Applicants agree with the Examiner that claims 9-31 are allowable in view of all of the references made of record, either alone or in combination. However, the Applicants do not agree nor disagree with the Examiner's characterization of those references or that such references are prior art for the present

Appln. No.: 10/028,871
Comments dated April 28, 2008
Reply to Notice of Allowance of Jan. 28, 2008

application, and reserve the right to argue their own characterization or that such references are not prior art should that need arise in the future.

If the Examiner has any questions, or if the Applicants can be of any assistance, the Examiner is invited and encouraged to contact the Applicants' representative at the telephone number below.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any other fees required by this submission, or credit any overpayment, to the deposit account of McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Account No. 13-0017.

Dated: April 28, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

/Shawn L. Peterson/
Shawn L. Peterson
Reg. No. 44,286

McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd.
500 W. Madison St., Ste. 3400
Chicago, IL 60661
(312) 775-8000